Application No. Applicant(s) 10/727 164 WALMSLEY, SIMON Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary ROBERT Art Unit Fyaminer WILLIAM S. POWERS 2434 All Participants: Status of Application: (1) WILLIAM S. POWERS. (3) SIMON WALMSLEY. (2) TANYA NAIR. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 17 September 2009 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic ☐ Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 11-14 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. See Continuation Sheet Part III. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/W. S. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2434

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was notified through email of Examiner's request to cancel claims 1 and 11-14 that were previously withdrawn. A second email request was sent to Applicant to request authorization to amend claims 2 and 15 to prevent any possible 35 USC 101 problems with the claim language. The Examiner suggested incorporating the limitation "the target entity is a first integrated circuitry" into independent claim 2. Applicant responded through email in the affirmative to both requests of the aforementioned Examiner's Amendments. Copies of the authorizations are enclosed in the record.